

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/746,901		11/18/1996	ISAAC K. ELLIOTT	MCIC-105/00U	8149
25537	7590	05/20/2002			
WORLDC	•		EXAMINER		
1133 19TH	STREET	*	NGUYEN, STEVEN H D		
WASHING	TON, DC	20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2665	
				DATE MAILED: 05/20/2002	128

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		08/746,901	ELLIOTT, ISAAC K.			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Steven HD Nguyen	2664			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statueply received by the Office later than three months after the mail dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136 (a). In no event, however, may a lipty within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	' February 2002 .				
2a)□		This action is non-final.				
3)						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 31-40 is/are pending in the application	tion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) 31-40 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claims are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) =] disapproved.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		,			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	application No			
* 0	Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Eact the attached detailed Office action for a limit	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dor					
	-					
Attachmen	t(s)					
15) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	19) 🔲 Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			



Application/Control Number: 08/746,901

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 31-38 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 31-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turock (USP 6243373) in view of Kenner (USP 6003030) and Gawlick (USP 6175870).

As claims 31-38, Turock discloses (Fig 2-10 and col. 5, lines 17 to col. 15, lines 54) a plurality of gateways (Fig 2, Ref 206 and 216) and call router (Fig 5, Ref 512) which connects the switched communication network and the packet network having a logic (Fig 5, Ref 506)





Application/Control Number: 08/746,901

Art Unit: 2665

which transmits a query message which includes a call type of service to the directory service (Fig 5, Ref 514) to obtain a plurality of gateways that match the predefined call service criteria including OOS "cost" and a gateway registration scheme "gateways registered in the database" (See col. 9, lines 1-25) and an identifier of the call to an associated IP address; ranging the selected gateways according the least cost routing; selecting a shortest path gateway for placing a telephone call and selecting a next one if the shortest one is not available (See col. 9, lines 26-65). However, Turock does not disclose transmitting a message to each of a plurality of gateways by using a trace route, ranks the plurality of gateways according to the result of the trace route message. In the same field of endeavor, Kenner disclose a communication system which queries a database to obtain a list of plurality servers "gateways" and sends a trace route message to each of plurality of gateways and prioritizing the plurality of gateways according to the test results and selecting a highest priority "shortest hop" to transmit a message (See col 18, lines 60 to col 19, lines 33, col 9, lines 55 to col 10, lines 10; col 11, lines 20-27). Gawlick discloses a communication system for routing a call on the highest ranked path "minimum hop path if hop equal 1, it means no router between the access point and gateway, col. 6, lines 44-60": if failing, the call will be routed via a next highest ranked path in the set of paths (See Fig. 5, Ref 515, 530, 550 and 527).

Since, Turrock suggests a method of routing a call based on least cost routing and monitoring the quality of the voice. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply the teaching of Kenner and Gawlick such as determining the delay between the access point and the plurality of servers and ranging according to the test results into Turock's internet telephony system. The motivation



Art Unit: 2665

would have been to maintain the quality service of audio packets. Even without the teaching of Kenner and Gawlick, one of ordinary skill in the would recognize a step of using a trace route command fro a well known testing tool in the Internet protocol to determine an optimal route between the nodes.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven HD Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-8848. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D Vu can be reached on (703) 308-6602. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Zwerfour Steven HD Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2665

May 14, 2002